



Cai, C., Tan, S., Chen, H., Ma, J., Wang, Y., Reid, J. P., & Zhang, Y. (2015). Slow water transport in MgSO_4 aerosol droplets at gel-forming relative humidities. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 17(44), 29753-29763. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP05181A>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):

[10.1039/C5CP05181A](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP05181A)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)

PDF-document

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

PCCP

Accepted Manuscript



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: C. Cai, S. Tan, H. Chen, J. Ma, Y. Wang, J. P. Reid and Y. H. Zhang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5CP05181A.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Slow water transport in MgSO_4 aerosol droplets at gel-forming relative humidities

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C5CP05181A

Chen Cai¹, Seehua Tan¹, Hongnan Chen¹, Jiabi Ma¹,
Yang Wang¹, Jonathan P. Reid^{2*} and Yunhong Zhang^{1*}

¹*The Institute of Chemical Physics, School of Chemistry, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing
100081, People's Republic of China*

²*School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TS, United Kingdom*

ABSTRACT

The effect of gel formation on the mass transfer of water during evaporation or condensation from MgSO_4 droplets is studied using an aerosol optical tweezers coupled with Raman spectroscopy. In particular, the kinetics of water transport during hydration and dehydration are followed for variable step changes in relative humidity and compared with previous measurements using different methodologies. Slow diffusion of water in the particle bulk is shown to limit water evaporation and condensation from the aerosol. Desorption of water continues over a long time at very low RH region and this is validated with complementary studies made by FTIR-ATR and measurements of the water adsorption isotherm. The observations can be rationalized when considering the possible phase transformation of gel structure at very low RH. Finally, the influences of the duration of the drying time ($\text{RH} \leq 10\%$) on the kinetics of condensation during hydration are investigated. Apparent diffusion coefficients of water molecules in the gel are obtained, showing little dependence on the water activity and droplet composition, and are consistent with the slow removal of water during drying from pores formed at the gel transition RH.

* - Corresponding author

I. Introduction

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C5CP05181A

Atmospheric aerosols originate from natural sources and anthropogenic activities and range in size over more than four magnitudes, extending from fine-mode nucleated clusters to cloud droplets and coarse-mode dust particles, tens of microns in size.¹ Not only do atmospheric aerosols have an impact on human health,² but they affect the global climate directly and indirectly. For the direct effect, aerosols scatter and absorb solar and terrestrial radiation.³⁻⁵ The indirect effect of aerosol particles originates from their role as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN),⁶ influenced by the hygroscopic characteristics of the atmospheric aerosols. The water uptake capacity of particles governs their equilibrium size and, thus, determines their light scattering ability and cloud-droplet nucleation efficiency.

Briefly, there are several factors that influence the equilibrium partitioning and kinetics of transport of water into and from aerosol droplets, including the variation of gas phase composition (specifically relative humidity, RH) and the water activity at the surface of the droplet, the diffusion constant of water within the particle bulk and the transport kinetics through the surface region.⁷ The solute effect governs the equilibrium composition by determining the concentrations of solutes within a particle and the response to changes in RH.⁸ Nevertheless, exceptional cases have been observed with the formation of semi-solid amorphous phases such as gels and glasses at low relative humidity in which the equilibrium state is not attained and the composition is kinetically determined.⁹ For these amorphous phases, the slow diffusion of water within the particle bulk can govern the rate and can lead to the formation of internal concentration gradients. Therefore, investigating the conditions under which amorphous states form is essential to more accurately interpret and predict aerosol properties and processes.

A comprehensive outline of the properties of amorphous aerosol particles and their comparison with crystalline particles has been presented by Mikhailov et al.¹⁰ Virtanen et al.¹¹ provided the first experimental evidence that biogenic secondary organic aerosol (SOA) particles can exist in a glassy state

under ambient condition. Zobrist et al.¹² concluded that organic and multi-component aerosol can readily form glasses at low, but atmospherically relevant, temperatures (≤ 230 K). Various inorganic aerosol components¹³⁻¹⁵ have also been found to form amorphous phases. Iodic acid solution droplets,¹³ held by an electro-dynamic trap at $\sim 10\%$ RH, were shown to exist as an ultra-viscous liquid and even a glassy state.

Measurements of water evaporation and condensation from single glassy sucrose droplets were carried out using an electro-dynamic balance (EDB)¹⁶ and later compared with measurements with aerosol optical tweezers.¹⁷ The results from both studies confirmed that slow mass transfer of water between the gas and condensed phase significantly impairs access to the thermodynamically determined equilibrium state and glassy (kinetically determined) states are formed.¹⁸ Bones et al.¹⁹ demonstrated that the mass transfer limitation is significant for ultra-viscous aerosol as well as glassy aerosol and that disequilibrium can persist for many hours in mixed component aerosol containing sucrose, sodium chloride and water. Although sucrose aerosol has provided a useful benchmark system for investigating the relationship between particle phase, viscosity and water diffusion, Price et al. have recently measured the diffusion constant of water in atmospherically relevant secondary organic material (SOM) derived from α -pinene ozonolysis.²⁰ Price et al.²⁰ concluded that water transport remains fast for this system for particle sizes in the accumulation mode typical of the atmosphere ($D > 10^{-15} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) even to low temperature (~ 240 K) and low RH (20 %): equilibration times were predicted to increase to longer than 100 s only at temperatures lower than 220 K. By contrast, the mechanical properties of the SOM suggest that it has the material properties of a solid, further reinforcing the challenges in predicting water transport kinetics in amorphous aerosol when the Stoke's Einstein relationship between the diffusion constant and viscosity cannot be assumed.²¹

In this publication, we present water transport measurements in an aerosol in a gel-state, defined as a two-phase mixture of a liquid dispersed in a semi-solid amorphous matrix formed from a colloidal or polymer network. It has been suggested that the dehydration of some organic aerosol can lead to the formation of highly porous gel-like structures.¹⁰ Conversely, hydration leads to gradual swelling as well as discrete changes in water content characteristic of well-defined thermodynamic transitions. Structural defects (veins and pores) can hasten water uptake, reducing the timescale for transitions to the fully solubilized state at deliquescence.²²⁻²³ By contrast, it has also been suggested the formation of gel-like structures may inhibit molecular diffusion near the surface of a particle and impose a kinetic limitation on water adsorption and absorption,¹⁰ although this suggestion is largely based on measurements of diffusional kinetics in bulk samples.²⁴⁻²⁷ Aqueous oxalic acid and levo-glucosan aerosol have been shown to exhibit trends in their hydration/dehydration behaviour that suggest they may exist as gels at low RH.¹⁰ For most gel-forming organic aerosols studied to date (including carboxylic acids, carbohydrates and protein macromolecules), the onset of gel-like swelling during an hydration cycle occurs at RHs in the range 30-40 % but complete deliquescence may occur over a much broader range, even up to 60 % to 90 % RH, depending on solubility.¹⁰ Direct measurements of the properties of aerosols in a gel state are sparse and more work is essential.

By using an electro-dynamic balance, Chan et al.¹⁵ observed severe mass transport resistance for water evaporation from MgSO_4 , $\text{Mg}(\text{COOCH}_3)_2$, $\text{ZrO}(\text{OH})\text{Cl}$ and $\text{Zr}(\text{COOCH}_3)_4$ solution droplets and it was suggested these components can form gels at high concentration. Among them, MgSO_4 aerosol has received attention due to its prevalence in sea salt aerosol.²⁸⁻³² Recent chemical and spectroscopic data have provided evidence for the occurrence of various hydrated Mg-sulfate minerals on the surface of Mars.^{33,34} Following the suggestion that levitated MgSO_4 droplets form a gel at low RH,¹⁴ a more systematic investigation of the deliquescence and efflorescence phase behavior was carried out using an EDB coupled with Raman spectroscopy.³⁰ Through the evaluation of the peak wavelength and the full

width at half height (FWHH) of the ν_1 -SO₄²⁻ Raman band with varying water-to-solute (WSR) ratio and change in RH, the presence of a polymeric bidentate chain structure in highly supersaturated MgSO₄ droplet (WSR<6) was proposed to contribute to gel formation with the possibility of limiting water transport. A detailed structural clarification of MgSO₄ ion-pairing was provided by dielectric relaxation spectroscopy (DRS) performed by the group of Buchner.³⁵ These measurements indicated that different species (i.e., free hydrated ions, double solvent-separated ion pairs, DSIPs, solvent-shared ion pairs, SIPs, and contact ion pairs, CIPs) varied in their relative proportions with change in water activity and solute concentration. Wang et al.³¹ examined solution droplets deposited on a hydrophilic quartz substrate. Spatially resolved measurements of composition of spheroidal MgSO₄ droplets were realized with confocal Raman spectroscopy. The strategy allowed the acquisition of more detailed information on the formation and loss of ion pairs accompanying evaporation and condensation of water, respectively. The study revealed a hysteresis in the decomposition of chain-structured contact ion-pairs (CIPs) in the humidifying process of MgSO₄ droplet when RH is <40%, leading to inhibition in water transport.

Spatially resolved measurements of the kinetics of water transport into and out of MgSO₄ droplets were conducted by Li et al.³³ by monitoring the isotope exchange between the D₂O initially contained in the MgSO₄ droplet with ambient H₂O water vapor. The different temporal dependencies of the composition at the droplet center and at the surface were compared with a confocal Raman measurement, revealing the presence of significant compositional gradients within a particle. Recently, Davies et al.³⁶ examined the evaporation and condensation kinetics of water from MgSO₄ droplets levitated in an EDB following rapid changes of RH. Continual loss of water persisted over a timescale on the order of hours following gel formation.

In this publication, we extend the exploration of the bulk diffusional limited water transport in aerosol, studying the kinetics of water transport in MgSO₄ aerosol droplets using optical tweezers coupled with

Raman spectroscopy. Optical tweezers offer an alternative to EDBs for trapping particles, with droplet radii typically in the range 2 to 10 μm . In a recent paper, it was shown that the size and the refractive index of a particle (accuracy better than $\pm 0.11\%$ or ± 0.0012) can be obtained simultaneously by using the unique information provided from the whispering gallery modes (WGMs) of Raman scattering spectra.³⁶ Thus, the spectroscopic measurements allow the evaporation and condensation of water at the droplet surface to be monitored.³⁷ Here, we focus on the bulk-limited water transport after the onset of gel formation. The RH surrounding an MgSO_4 droplet was altered in a step wise manner and the timescale for the evaporation and condensation of water measured. An evaporation experiment using FT-IR spectroscopy within a similar RH range was carried out in parallel, complementing the optical tweezing-Raman spectroscopy study. With gel structures likely to be highly porous upon drying,³⁸ surface analysis of MgSO_4 was conducted with a physisorption analyzer over a wide RH range.

II. Experimental Description

The aerosol optical tweezers technique has been discussed in previous publications.^{37,39-41} Figure S1 (in Supplementary Information) shows a schematic diagram of the single gradient force optical trap used in this study. The optical trap was formed by focusing the beam (514.5 nm, 100 mW) from an argon-ion laser (Melles-Griot 43 series) through an Olympus UIS2 PlanCN 100 \times oil immersion objective (1.25 N.A.). A custom-fabricated sample cell with a volume of $\sim 7 \text{ cm}^3$ was used for aerosol droplet confinement. The apertures on the bottom and top face of sample cell allow the coverslip to be mounted and provide access for bright-field illumination. Four ports, situated around the side wall of the cell, allow the introduction of the aerosol flow, a humidified stream of nitrogen gas and outlets for the gas and excess aerosol flows.

The tightly focused beam exerts a gradient force on aerosol particles that drift into the focal volume, drawing the particle into the region of highest intensity, i.e., the center of beam. Droplets of 0.5 M MgSO_4

solution (prepared by dissolving analytical grade salt in triply distilled water) generated by the medical nebulizer (Yuyue 402AI model) were introduced into the sample cell and a single droplet from the cloud was captured. The further growth of the trapped particle was achieved through coalescence with other free-moving aerosol droplets in the cell. The backscattered light collected by the microscope objective was filtered to remove the Rayleigh scattering around 514.5 nm using a notch filter. The Raman light was then focused by a 200 mm plano-convex lens onto the entrance slit of a spectrograph (Acton SpectraPro 2300i) equipped with an 1800 grooves/mm grating and spatially resolved on the charge coupled device (Princeton Instruments, NTE/CCD detector) with a pixel array of 1024×256 , recording the spectra with 1 s time resolution.

A blue LED centered at 455 nm was adopted as the illumination source for imaging trapped droplets and the light reflected from the beam splitter was projected onto a camera (Watec, 1/3 in., model 231S2) after removing scattered laser light with a short pass filter. This allowed the collection of images from the underside of the droplet, referred to as in-plane imaging. The environmental RH experienced by the trapped aerosol droplet was controlled by adjusting the flow ratio of dry and wet nitrogen, humidified through a bubbler filled with pure water. The flow rates were controlled by independent mass flow controllers (Alicat Scientific), although the combined gas flow introduced into the cell was kept constant at $0.15 \text{ L} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$. The RH and temperature of the combined gas flow were measured by positioning probes (Center 313) before the trapping cell and in the outflow from the cell. The uncertainty of RH determination was $\pm 2\%$.

The size and refractive index of the droplet can be inferred with high accuracy from the evolving fingerprint of amplified stimulated Raman scattering signals at wavelengths commensurate with WGMs occurring in the range of the Stokes shift corresponding to O-H excitation (~ 3000 to 3600 cm^{-1} , 610-640 nm).³⁶ In this publication, two types of evaporation and condensation measurements were made. In the

first set, varying steps in RH change were imposed on a confined droplet, both examining the evaporation and condensation process. The RH range spanned from ~55% down to ~4%, covering the critical range of gel formation. The second set of experiments focused on repeated cycles of dehumidifying-humidifying below and above the RH of gel formation. The drying (waiting) time for the droplet below the RH for gel formation was varied to examine the dependence of the condensation rate on the extent of drying of the gel.

The FTIR-ATR instrument for studying MgSO_4 droplets deposited on an ATR crystal is shown in Figure S2. The system is composed of a Nicolet Magna-IR model 560 FTIR spectrometer equipped with a liquid-nitrogen cooled mercury-cadmium-telluride type A(MCT-A) detector. The key unit is a chamber composed of a baseline horizontal ATR cell (Spectra-Tech Inc., USA) with a ZnSe crystal internal reflection element (IRE) used as a substrate. The incident beam is at an angle of 45° with respect to the top probe surface of ZnSe crystal IRE, making about 12 internal reflections. Firstly, aerosol particles were generated from a 0.5 M of MgSO_4 solution by using a nebulizer and were drawn into the chamber and deposited on the ATR crystal substrate. The total volume of particles deposition was monitored through the intensity of an absorption peak (such as $\nu_3\text{-SO}_4^{2-}$) in the spectra. This is to ensure low surface coverage of ATR crystal, discriminating against the agglomeration of aerosol particles.

The RH in the chamber was adjusted by controlling the ratio of dry and wet vapor saturated nitrogen gas streams with mass flow controllers (Alicat Scientific). The wet gas stream was humidified by passing the dry N_2 gas flow from a zeolite bubbler, generating many small bubbles and facilitating RH elevation, through a bottle filled with pure water. The RH and temperature were recorded by a RH probe (Center 313, $\pm 2.5\%$ in uncertainty) placed in the outflow from the chamber. The efflorescence of MgSO_4 particles was monitored by step-wise decrease of relative humidity, and spectra in the range of $650\text{--}4000\text{ cm}^{-1}$ were

recorded upon equilibrium at every RH step. Generally, scans in one collection spanning ~20 s were used to produce the final spectrum with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹.³⁸

When water vapor is used as an adsorbate in a physisorption analyzer, adsorption and desorption measurements of water can provide quantitative measurements of the hygroscopic properties of deposited aerosol particles.⁴² In this publication, the isothermal adsorption of water by an anhydrous solid MgSO₄ sample was obtained with a BELSORP-max physisorption analyzer (BEL JAPAN, INC.)^{43,44} equipped with a thermostatic water bath (Beijing Bilang). Further details of the BELSORP-max physisorption analyzer and the methods used are discussed in Supplementary Information.

III. Results and Discussion

Measurements of the evaporation and condensation of water from MgSO₄ particles were primarily performed using aerosol optical tweezers. These measurements were supported by complementary data from the FTIR-ATR spectrometer and physical adsorption analyzer.

A. Water transport kinetics in measured by aerosol optical tweezers and FTIR-ATR

By tracking the shifting wavelengths of WGMs appearing in the Raman spectra of tweezed droplets and comparing them with those calculated from Mie scattering theory, the size and refractive index of a homogeneous droplet can be determined with high accuracy.³⁶ This method is used to determine the size and refractive index of the trapped MgSO₄ droplet when above the RH below which the gel state is formed and when it can be assumed to be homogeneous in composition. This provides the reference point for size and composition for all subsequent measurements. Then, the relative size change throughout the subsequent changes in RH can be estimated from the following equation,

$$\frac{\Delta r}{r} = \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} \quad (6)$$

in which r and λ represent the radius of the droplet and the wavelength of a WGM used to track the size change, respectively. This expression is used to estimate the droplet size change during drying when concentration gradients can form within the particle and a full Mie fit to a homogeneous sphere is no longer appropriate.⁴⁵ Since we are interested here in the kinetics of water transport, the shift in wavelength of a WGM provides a straight forward way of characterizing the evaporation or condensation kinetics without the requirement to accurately determine the particle size.⁴⁵

An example of the inferred radius over time is shown in Figure 1. From a starting RH $\geq 50\%$, the droplet size decreased quickly with reduction in RH down to $\sim 40\%$ and then increased as the RH increased back to $\sim 52\%$. During this process, the response of size to the RH changes was fast. Only when the RH was decreased below the water activity at which gel formation occurs, equivalent to an RH of 40% ,³¹ was a significant slowing in the size change observed, extending beyond the timescale of the actual change in RH. For any increase in RH up to a value below 40% , the evaporation of water from the droplet was not yet complete from the previous drying step. These observations are consistent with previous studies.^{31,36} The shaded area in Figure 1 denotes the time during which the droplet was at an RH below the RH required for gel formation; water transport is observed to be consistently impeded when conditions are within this regime. In this RH range, the droplet size responds only slowly to changes of RH and never appears to achieve an equilibrium state.

Although similar magnitudes of RH change were applied in evaporation and condensation when below the gel point RH, the apparent size changes inferred from the wavelength shifts of WGMs recorded during condensation steps were consistently less than those recorded during evaporation. This is consistent with the hysteresis in size observed on evaporation/condensation cycling in previous measurements with amorphous aerosol when water transport is kinetically hindered.¹⁶ On evaporation, the droplet does not achieve a water activity set by the lowest RH and so only a small increase in size is required to increase

the water activity in the droplet to match the gas phase RH. It is interesting to see that considerable water is retained within the particle even at 29% RH, with a slow but substantial decrease in size on drying to 4% after 40000 s.

In order to explore the changes in water flux with RH, the ratios of half-time¹⁹ for changes in droplet size to the half-time for the change in RH measured by the probe are reported in Figure 2. The trend is qualitatively consistent with the significant rise in equilibration times associated with selected RH changes in the EDB study of Davies et al.³⁶ for larger MgSO₄ droplet. In the high RH/dilute solute limit, the ratio approaches a value of 1, indicative of free transport of water into and out of the droplet. The ratio increases significantly when the droplet enters a gel state (the shaded area), exhibiting a slower response in size when compared to the response of RH probe. A larger step in RH usually requires longer to reach the midpoint of the size change. For measurements of condensation and evaporation rates between pairs of common RH values in the gel state, for example the transitions between RH pairs of ~6% and ~28% or ~5% and ~17%, the half-time ratio was found to be smaller for condensation than for evaporation. This comparison also holds true for the half-time ratios obtained from FTIR-ATR (data in blue in Figure 2). These two techniques show very similar time constants. A similar observation has been observed when comparing the evaporation and condensation kinetics for water in ultra-viscous and glassy aerosol: loss of water from a viscous particle is slower than the dissolution of a viscous core into a condensing lower viscosity shell.¹⁹

A typical example of the movement of WGMs is presented in Figure 3 following the increase in RH from 17% to ~40%, which is accompanied by rapid dissociation of the gel and the formation of a homogeneous solution droplet. Continuous deposition of water and dissociation of the gel structure only starts to occur significantly once the RH had achieved a value of ~36% where abrupt shifts of WGMs are observed. Asynchronous shifts in WGMs of different mode orders during the condensation process provide direct

evidence for the presence of a concentration gradient during condensation with the water front gradually penetrating further into the particle as dissolution of the gel occurs. A similar observation was described by Bones et al.¹⁹ in the condensation of water on ultra-viscous sucrose particles. The sequential asynchronous translation of modes of increasing mode order is consistent with the advancing penetration of water through the mode volumes occupied by modes of increasing order and penetration depth.

In a previous publication, we used a differential step isothermal method³¹ to describe the kinetics of water transport between the gas phase and bulk of a particle existing in a gel state, describing effectively the exchange of D₂O by H₂O in the MgSO₄ droplet.³² A simplified expression for the initial region of the uptake curve may be obtained as

$$\frac{m_t}{m_\infty} = \frac{\bar{q} - q_0}{q_\infty - q_0} \approx \frac{2S}{V} \sqrt{\frac{D_{ap}t}{\pi}} \quad (7)$$

where m_t/m_∞ is the fractional approach to the sorption equilibrium at time t , \bar{q} is the average water content in the droplet which depends on time, D_{ap} is the apparent water diffusivity, S/V is the ratio of external surface area-to-particle volume which is $S/V=3/R_p$ for a spherical particle of radius R_p . By assuming the density change of droplet is small from just below to above the gel state, equation (7) can be written as

$$\frac{m_t}{m_\infty} = \frac{\bar{r}^3 - r_0^3}{r_\infty^3 - r_0^3} \approx \frac{6}{r_0} \sqrt{\frac{D_{ap}t}{\pi}} \quad (8)$$

where \bar{r} , r_0 , r_∞ refer to the time-dependent radius, the initial radius prior to the RH step and the final radius of the RH change at equilibrium, respectively.

An analysis of the fractional approach to the sorption equilibrium using equation (8) is similar to the approach we have recently adopted to interpret the kinetics of water transport in glassy aerosol, using a response function to characterize the approach to a final state that can be fit to the stretched exponential function of the Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts equation.⁴⁵ The dependence of the ratio of radii on $t^{0.5}/r_0$ can

be used to estimate the apparent diffusion constant, D_{ap} , for a specific RH change, as reported in Table 1.

Unlike mass transport retardation exhibited in ultra-viscous glasses, the supra-molecular networks structure of gel-like MgSO_4 tends to form many pores and channel which cause an apparent compositional independence of diffusivity and a time-dependence that is not consistent with the stretched exponential function of the Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts equation. Notably, one diffusion constant determined from a condensation event is considerably larger than the other values, a consequence of the rapid dissolution of the gel once the RH is raised above the gel point. Further challenges in comparing kinetics during condensation will be described in Section III.c and a full discussion is delayed until then.

The water diffusion constants of MgSO_4 droplets of 3 - 4 μm radius reported in Table 1 are in the range of 10^{-16} to $10^{-15} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Li et al.³³ estimated the diffusion constants as 10^{-15} to $10^{-14} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for water diffusing in MgSO_4 droplet with radius of 10-30 μm , an order of magnitude larger. Given the differences in the measurement approach (particle size, levitated droplets compared with droplets on a substrate, inferred size change compared with isotope exchange), we consider this level of agreement to be acceptable. In the earlier work of Li et al.,³³ compositional measurements were made by confocal Raman spectroscopy at different depths with the assumption that the relative magnitudes of Raman signatures from H_2O and D_2O provided a direct measure of the transport of water and the approach to equilibrium averaged over the entire droplet volume. The fractional approach to equilibrium for the whole particle required correct identification of the end point of the water sorption to determine m_t/m_∞ , with the Raman band intensities at a single point within the droplet providing a much more indefinite measure than the relative radius equilibration probed here. In addition, it may be that the drying of particles of considerably different size in the two types of experiment prepares gel-state magnesium sulphate droplets of different porosity. Despite these differences, the considerable kinetic slowing of water transport in droplets adopting a gel state is clear and qualitatively consistent between the two studies.

B. Identification of different gel states on drying

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C5CP05181A

So far, we have considered that a single type of gel state is formed on drying below 40% RH. Here we explore the possible formation of different gel states through the dehumidifying and humidifying process of MgSO_4 droplets from investigations using FTIR-ATR and adsorption isotherm measurements. In FTIR-ATR measurements, step-wise RH changes were performed and the mass transfer at very low RHs was examined. Figure 4(a) reports the normalized peak areas of the water OH-stretching envelope and ν_3 band of SO_4^{2-} stretching of MgSO_4 droplets responding to RH changes. Changes of the $\nu_3\text{-SO}_4^{2-}$ peak area with respect to the variation in RH can be attributed to the morphological changes of a spherical droplet deposited on ZnSe substrate, affecting the penetration depth of incoming beam and the sample volume and concentration of the salt. It can be clearly seen from the changes of OH-stretching peak area, the water loss was greatly impeded as the RH was reduced from 28% to 15%. Nevertheless, the peak area of water OH-stretching was found to drastically decrease during the subsequent reduction of RH from 15% to 3.5%. Similarly, the re-growth of droplet sizes was far slower than the increase rate of surrounding RH during the humidifying process. The retardation was ended when the RH reading reached 40% in which the break-down of gel induced significant uptake of water.

These findings are in agreement with the observations obtained from optical tweezers, with, for example, the magnitudes of size changes not showing a monotonic variation with the values between which the RH changes. The normalized volume of condensed phase water upon evaporation is investigated by comparing the fractional droplet volume change obtained from optical tweezers with the fractional OH peak area obtained from FTIR-ATR, shown in Figure 4(b). The respective value at RH~50% for each measuring technique is adopted as the maximum point. The qualitative changes in size/water content are similar for both techniques.

The sorption behaviour of water in anhydrous MgSO_4 within the RH region ranging from ultra-low, i.e. $\text{RH} > 1\%$ to $\text{RH} \leq 30\%$ is of interest and the adsorption isotherm has been measured using the physical adsorption analyser. Figure 5 shows the water adsorption isotherm of water of MgSO_4 sample at 298K. Briefly, MgSO_4 exhibits a multi-layer adsorption type curve at low RH. Normally, a three-parameter BET equation as described by Joyner et al.⁴⁶ can be used for water adsorption isotherm fitting instead of the conventional BET treatment. However, this treatment is not possible for the water adsorption by MgSO_4 due to the abrupt transition at $\sim 32\%$ RH and $\sim 80\%$, the latter being the deliquescence RH of the aerosol. If the region below an RH of 35% is examined carefully, different degrees of water adsorption can be found as shown in the inset of Figure 5 with a qualitative trend and steps in water sorption that are consistent with both the optical tweezers and FTIR-ATR measurements. The WSR increases from 0.5 to 2.4 accompanying the RH increase from $\sim 9.2\%$ to $\sim 30\%$. We suggest that $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ particles transition from anhydrous particles at low RH to a mono-hydrate ($n=1$) by 10 % RH and to $n \leq 2.5$ by 30 % RH. This is followed by an abrupt increase of water uptake as the RH increases from $32 \pm 2.5\%$ to $35 \pm 2.5\%$, which can be attributed to the transition of $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ particles to $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The relatively stable plateau observed with increase in RH from $\sim 45\%$ to $\sim 80\%$ corresponds to the occurrence of stable hexahydrates of magnesium sulphate. Finally, the hexahydrates experiences deliquescence at 80% RH. These measurements confirm that although a gel is formed and water dehydration and hydration occur continuously with reduction and increase in RH, transitions between different thermodynamically stable gel states do occur.

In a previous publication,³¹ the structure of the MgSO_4 gel was proposed to be polymeric chains based on bidentate contact ion pairs, where the hexahedral Mg^{2+} coordinates with two H_2O molecules and four O atoms of two $[\text{SO}_4]^{2-}$ group.³² Indeed, the water content plays an important role in regulating the structures of hydrates. The number of H_2O molecules alters the topological arrangements of polyhedra, leading to the formation of different crystal structures for different hydrated Mg-sulphates. The X-ray diffraction

patterns of MgSO_4 with different hydration levels have been reported previously.^{47,48} As the number of water molecules that coordinate with octahedral Mg^{2+} increase, fewer O from SO_4^{2-} can form linkages with Mg^{2+} . The increase in mole fraction of water molecules also reduces the bridging of polyhedra. As a result, the structures of $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ vary from a three-dimensional infinite framework ($n=1-2$), through finite cluster ($n=4$) and infinite chains ($n=5$), to isolated octahedral Mg^{2+} and tetrahedral SO_4^{2-} when in solution. The structure of $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with $n=2.5$ was also verified⁴⁶ as consisting of two-dimensional layers. The double-sheet slabs composed of octahedral Mg^{2+} and tetrahedral SO_4^{2-} are held together by hydrogen bonds and the atoms within the slabs are bonded by strong chemical interactions with the absence of H_2O molecules. The structure implies that two-dimensional layers are water insensitive.

Combining the observations from hygroscopic study and reports from the crystallographic refinement, it is logical to suggest that more complicated ion-pairing structures of MgSO_4 droplet could exist at very low relative humidity instead of polymeric chains. Since the X-ray observations confirmed that phase transitions are important for MgSO_4 of hydration levels $n=1$ to 6,⁴⁸ the gel structure composed of ion-pairing in MgSO_4 droplet upon the evaporation process would undergo a phase transition from a cluster, through 2D layers which are less sensitive to relative humidity, to a 3D framework which possesses channels and pores. This explains why water transport was still observed for MgSO_4 droplet in response to the humidity changes at very low RH region.

In summary, through using three techniques, that is, aerosol optical tweezers and FTIR-ATR spectroscopy as well as water adsorption isotherm, we can draw the conclusion that, gel formation in MgSO_4 aerosol droplets limits the kinetics of water evaporation and condensation. Marginally different water amounts at the same RHs are obtained by the three techniques, while results from FTIR and tweezers system are broadly consistent in the amount of water lost and the rate at which it is lost.

C. Influence of the duration of drying

In a final experiment using optical tweezers, the size of a MgSO_4 droplet was allowed to decrease following a rapid RH reduction from $\leq 52\%$ to $\leq 9\%$. Then, two consecutive humidifying steps were carried out from the lowest RH to an RH of 30-33% and from 30-33% RH to an RH of $\sim 50\%$. These condensation and evaporation cycles were repeated. The time over which the droplet was held at the lowest RH of each cycle was varied from 0.5 to 3 hours and is referred to as the waiting time,⁴⁹ or equivalently the drying time, as shown in Figure 6. The size decrease of the droplet within period (a) in Figure 6 was smaller than the later cycles due to a shorter drying time and incomplete loss of water from the droplet during the much shorter wait time. The size evolution was inferred from tracking the very slow movement of first-order WGMs with increasing waiting time followed by humidifying within the gel state (up to $\sim 30\%$ RH). The increase to an RH above the gel point was necessary on every cycle to return the droplet to a true equilibrium state of homogeneous composition and dissolution of the gel before the next drying cycle and wait time measurement was performed.

Time dependent growths in droplet size following the increase in RH following different waiting/drying times are compared in Figure 7. About 16-19 nm of radius change with maximum uncertainty of ± 2 nm can be detected for an increase in RH from 8% to 33%. Using the differential step isothermal method introduced above, normalized volume change are illustrated in Figure 8 with variation in the square root of time. Notably, the time-dependence of the fractional volume change shows a systematic change in shape as the waiting (drying) time prior to condensation is increased from 30 min to 180 minutes with the condensation process split into two distinct steps. Diffusion constant estimated from the linear fits to the two gradients for each wait time are reported in Table 2. While the diffusion constants estimated from the data at times greater 900 s are consistent, a marginally larger diffusion constant can be determined from the data recorded during the early stages in condensation, decreasing towards the value of the long-time data. When the wait time is 180 minutes, only a single diffusion constant can be determined (Figure 8(d)).

Although we have suggested in Section III.a that the diffusion constant of water appears to be independent of RH once the gel is formed, particularly when compared to the variations over many orders of magnitude that occur in glassy and ultraviscous aerosol,¹⁶ these data suggest that there is a marginal dependence on the amount of water remaining in the gel and the degree to which the gel is swollen as a result.

IV. Conclusion

In this study we have examined the evaporation and condensation kinetics of water from single MgSO_4 droplet using gradient force optical tweezers-Raman spectroscopy. The observations were supported by studies by FTIR-ATR and measurements of the water adsorption isotherm obtained from a physisorption analyser. We have focused on the limited water transport during dehydration and hydration of droplets that can be used to identify the formation of a gel.

We report very slow mass transport in MgSO_4 droplets once the RH is decreased from RH ~40%. The dynamics of overall evaporation and condensation steps of droplet with respect to various RH changes are presented as half-time ratio and the results are qualitatively in line with previous studies using different observation techniques. The break-down/dissolution of the gel is reported to occur when the RH is increased above ~36%. Water diffusion constants below the RH of gel formation are reported to be of order $10^{-16} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, indicating severe water transport retardation, but significantly less than observed in glassy aerosol. Notably, the diffusion constants estimated appear to be independent of RH (equivalent to the water activity in the droplet), behaviour that should be contrasted with the strong dependence on composition/water activity observed in ultra-viscous and glassy sucrose particles.⁵⁰ This is consistent with facile transport of water occurring along common pores in the structure independent of droplet composition.

Continuous water loss has been observed on reduction in RH from ~29% - ~4%, infers that certain phase transformation occurred in the former RH range. We have validated our observations by optical tweezers

with complementary FTIR-ATR and water adsorption isotherm. Similar trend of water content change are reported for RH region lower than RH ~40%. Referring to the reported phase transitions of magnesium hydrates with different water content examined by X-ray diffraction,^{47,48} we suggest that the gel structure of MgSO₄ droplets at very low RH consists of a three dimensional frameworks comprised of contact ion-pairs instead of simple polymeric chains.

Finally, we report the influence of the waiting (drying) time at low RH ($\leq 10\%$) on the kinetics of the subsequent humidifying process, staying below the RH at which dissolution of the gel would occur. With short drying times, the condensation kinetics can be described by bulk limited uptake that requires two distinct diffusion constants that differ by a factor of ~ 2 . As more water is removed from the droplet during drying (i.e. at longer waiting times), the condensation kinetics are increasingly consistent with a single diffusion constant. This change must reflect the inhomogenities in composition that result during partial drying.

Acknowledgement

CC and SHT contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors. YHZ acknowledges support from the NSFC (41175119, 21373026 and 21473009) and 111 project (B07012 and 2011YQ04013615). SHT acknowledges the China Scholarship Council for granting a PhD scholarship. CC acknowledges support from the China Scholarship Council for international joint project. JPR acknowledges the NERC through the award NE/M004600/1.

References

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C5CP05181A

- 1 J. Curtius, *CR Phys.*, 2006, **7**, 1027-1045.
- 2 A. Nel, *Science*, 2005, **309**, 1326.
- 3 P. Stier, J. H. Seinfeld, S. Kinne and O. Boucher, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **7**, 5237-5261.
- 4 S. Satheesh and K. Krishnamoorthy, *Atmos. Environ.*, 2005, **39**, 2089-2110.
- 5 J. Haywood and O. Boucher, *Rev. Geophys.*, 2000, **38**, 513-543.
- 6 U. Lohmann and J. Feichter, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **5**, 715-737.
- 7 C. E. Kolb, R. A. Cox, J. P. D. Abbatt, M. Ammann, E. J. Davis, D. J. Donaldson, B. C. Garrett, C. George, P. T. Griffiths, D. R. Hanson, M. Kulmala, G. McFiggans, U. Pöschl, I. Riipinen, M. J. Rossi, Y. Rudich, P. E. Wagner, P. M. Winkler, D. R. Worsnop and C. D. O' Dowd, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **10**, 10561-10605.
- 8 J. H. Seinfeld and S. N. Pandis, *Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change*, John Wiley & Sons, 2006.
- 9 U. K. Krieger, C. Marcolli and J. P. Reid, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 6631-6662.
- 10 E. Mikhailov, S. Vlasenko, S. T. Martin, T. Koop and U. Poeschl, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **9**, 9491-9522.
- 11 A. Virtanen, J. Joutsensaari, T. Koop, J. Kannosto, P. Yli-Pirilä J. Leskinen, J. M. Mäkelä J. K. Holopainen, U. Pöschl, M. Kulmala, D. R. Worsnop and A. Laaksonen, *Nature*, 2010, **467**, 824-827.
- 12 B. Zobrist, C. Marcolli, D. A. Pedernera and T. Koop, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **8**, 5221-5244.
- 13 B. J. Murray, A. E. Haddrell, S. Peppe, J. F. Davies, J. P. Reid, D. O'Sullivan, H. C. Price, R. Kumar, R. W. Saunders, J. M. C. Plane, N. S. Umo and T. W. Wilson, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **12**, 8575-8587.
- 14 M. Mitterböck, G. Fleissner, A. Hallbrucker and E. Mayer, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 1999, **103**, 8016-8025.
- 15 C. K. Chan, R. C. Flagan and J. H. Seinfeld, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.*, 1998, **81**, 646-648.
- 16 B. Zobrist, V. Soonsin, B. P. Luo, U. K. Krieger, C. Marcolli, T. Peter and T. Koop, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 3514-3526.
- 17 H. J. Tong, J. P. Reid, D. L. Bones, B. P. Luo and U. K. Krieger, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **11**, 4739-4754.
- 18 V. Lubchenko and P. G. Wolynes, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 2007, 235-266.
- 19 D. L. Bones, J. P. Reid, D. M. Lienhard and U. K. Krieger, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*, 2012, **109**, 11613-11618.
- 20 H. C. Price, J. Mattsson, Y. Zhang, A. K. Bertram, J. F. Davies, J. W. Grayson, S. T. Martin, D. O'Sullivan, J. P. Reid, A. M. J. Rickards and B. J. Murray, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, **6**, 4876-4883.
- 21 R. M. Power, S. H. Simpson, J. P. Reid and A. J. Hudson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2597.

- 22 S. Sjogren, M. Gysel, E. Weingartner, U. Baltensperger, M. J. Cubison, H. Coe, A. A. Zardini, C. Marcolli, U. K. Krieger and T. Peter, *J AEROSOL SCI*, 2007, **38**, 157-171. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C7CP05181A
- 23 A. A. Zardini, S. Sjogren, C. Marcolli, U. K. Krieger, M. Gysel, E. Weingartner, U. Baltensperger and T. Peter, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **8**, 5589-5601.
- 24 I. A. Farhat, E. Loisel, P. Saez, W. Derbyshire and J. Blanshard, *Int. J. Food. Sci. Tech.*, 1997, **32**, 377-387.
- 25 D. J. Abdallah and R. G. Weiss, *J. Brazil. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **11**, 209-218.
- 26 L. A. Estroff and A. D. Hamilton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1201-1218.
- 27 G. He, R. B. H. Tan, P. J. A. Kenis and C. F. Zukoski, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2007, **111**, 14121-14129.
- 28 R. J. Charlson, D. S. Covert, T. V. Larson and A. P. Waggoner, *Atmos. Environ.*, 1978, **12**, 39-53.
- 29 I. N. Tang, A. C. Tridico and K. H. Fung, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 1997, **102**, 23269-23275.
- 30 Y. Zhang and C. K. Chan, *J. Phys. Chem. A.*, 2000, **104**, 9191-9196.
- 31 F. Wang, Y. Zhang, S. Li, L. Wang and L. Zhao, *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, **77**, 7148-7155.
- 32 L. Wang, F. Ding, Y. Zhang, L. Zhao and Y. Hu, *Spectrochimica. Acta. Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 2008, **71**, 682-687.
- 33 K. Li, F. Wang, G. Zeng, J. P. Reid and Y. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2011, **115**, 14397-14403.
- 34 J. P. Bibring, Y. Langevin, A. Gendrin, B. Gondet, F. Poulet, M. Berthe, A. Soufflot, R. Arvidson, N. Mangold, J. Mustard and P. Drossart, *Science*, 2005, **307**, 1576-1581.
- 35 R. Buchner, T. Chen and G. Hefter, *J. Phys. Chem. B.*, 2004, **108**, 2365-2375.
- 36 J. F. Davies, A. E. Haddrell, R. E. H. Miles, C. R. Bull and J. P. Reid, *J. Phys. Chem. A.*, 2012, **116**, 10987-10998.
- 37 R. E. Miles, J. S. Walker, D. R. Burnham and J. P. Reid, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 3037-3047.
- 38 L. Mitchem and J. P. Reid, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 756.
- 39 G. W. Scherer, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids.*, 1992, **147**, 363-374.
- 40 J. B. Wills, K. J. Knox and J. P. Reid, *CHEM PHYS LETT*, 2009, **481**, 153-165.
- 41 R. E. H. Miles, A. E. Carruthers and J. P. Reid, *LASER PHOTONICS REV*, 2011, **5**, 534-552.
- 42 R. D. Dear, D. R. Burnham, M. D. Summers, D. McGloin and G. A. Ritchie, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 15826-15831.
- 43 Q. Ma, Y. Liu and H. He, *J. Phys. Chem. A.*, 2010, **114**, 4232-4237.
- 44 BEL Japan, Inc. BELSORP-max user's manual.
- 45 A. M. Rickards, Y. C. Song, R. E. Miles, T. C. Preston and J. P. Reid, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 10059-10073.
- 46 L. G. Joyner, E. B. Weinburger and C. W. Montgomery, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1945, **67**, 2182-2188.

- 47 H. Ma, D. L. Bish, H. W. Wang and S. J. Chipera, *Am. Mineral.*, 2009, **94**, 622-625. View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C5CP05181A
- 48 H. Ma, D. L. Bish, H. Wang and S. J. Chipera, *Am. Mineral.*, 2009, **94**, 1071-1074.
- 49 J. W. Lu, A. M. Rickards, J. S. Walker, K. J. Knox, R. E. Miles, J. P. Reid and R. Signorell, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 9819-9830.
- 50 D. M. Lienhard, A. J. Huisman, D. L. Bones, Y. F. Te, B. P. Luo, U. K. Krieger and J. P. Reid, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 16677-16683.

Table 1. Slopes of linear fitting curve and the diffusion constant for droplet undergoing various steps of RH changes.

Process	Initial RH	Final RH	Initial radii, $R_0 / \mu\text{m}$	Slope, $k / 10^{-8}$	$D_{ap} / 10^{-16} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$
Evaporation	39.9	28.7	3.85	4.37 ± 0.39	1.67 ± 0.15
	28.2	17.0	3.73	4.53 ± 0.38	1.80 ± 0.15
	16.6	5.8	3.73	4.71 ± 0.41	1.93 ± 0.17
Condensation	29.9	40.2	3.84	8.57 ± 0.67	6.42 ± 0.50
	17.7	29.1	3.73	4.29 ± 0.35	1.61 ± 0.13
	4.6	16.7	3.71	3.33 ± 0.29	0.97 ± 0.08

* $k = \frac{(r^3 - r_0^3)}{t^{1/2}}$

Table 2. Slope of linear fitting curve and water diffusion constant of MgSO_4 droplet during condensation after different waiting time at low relative humidity. For results with waiting time of 30 min, 60 min and 120 min, the above entries represent linear slope and diffusion constant at time smaller whilst linear slope and diffusion constant for time greater than 900 s are shown in below entries.

Waiting time / min	Equilibrium radii, R_0 / μm	RH / %	Slope, k / 10^{-8}	D_{ap} / $10^{-16} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$
30	3.09	14.2	7.54 ± 0.49	3.36 ± 0.22
			3.46 ± 0.22	1.46 ± 0.10
60	2.91	12.2	7.38 ± 0.48	3.08 ± 0.20
			3.08 ± 0.20	1.29 ± 0.08
120	2.93	8.2	7.27 ± 0.43	2.78 ± 0.17
			3.71 ± 0.22	1.42 ± 0.09
180	2.95	10.7	4.04 ± 0.23	1.42 ± 0.08

Figure 1. Evolving size (blue) of a MgSO_4 droplet following the steps of RH (red). The grey area refers to the RH regime in which the droplet exists in a gel state.




Figure 2. Ratio of half-time for changes in size of MgSO_4 droplet to half-time for RH probe change from optical tweezers measurements (solid circles) and FTIR-ATR measurements (open triangles) presented in two different ways. In (a), different colours represent the ratio between radius half-time and RH half-time, whilst in (b), different colours represent different RH steps. The shaded area identifies the RH region in which the aerosol exists as a gel.




Figure 3. Time scale of the response in WGM wavelengths as the size of MgSO_4 droplet changes when the RH was increased from $\sim 17\%$ to $\sim 40\%$. Real time RH is illustrated by hollow red circles. Lines with colour of navy, blue, olive and green represent TM_1 , TE_1 , TM_2 and TE_2 whispering gallery modes, respectively. TE (transverse electric) and TM (transverse magnetic) refer to the differing polarisations of modes.




Figure 4. (a) Normalized peak area deduced from the FTIR-ATR spectra, and (b) normalized volume of condensed phase water for MgSO_4 below $\text{RH} \sim 50\%$ obtained with optical tweezers and FTIR-ATR. In (a), the solid square, hollow circle and hollow triangle represent results of RH, normalized peak area of -OH band and normalized peak area of SO_4^{2-} band, respectively. In (b), the open squares and solid circles represent normalized volumes of condensed phase water in optical tweezers experiment and FTIR-ATR experiment, respectively.




Figure 5. Water adsorption isotherm of MgSO_4 particles at 298K. The sample mass was 824 μg . Inset shows the enlarged view of water content at low RH region.




Figure 6. The response of gel state MgSO_4 droplet size to the changes of RH after (a) 0.5 hour, (b) 1 hour, (c) 2 hours and of waiting time at very low relative humidity. RH and droplet radius are presented with red and blue symbols, respectively. The grey area refers to the RH regime in which the droplet exists in a gel state.





Figure 7. The enlarges view of gel state MgSO_4 droplet size change during the condensation step after (a) 30 minutes, (b) 60 minutes, and (c) 120 minutes of waiting time at ultra-low relative humidity. RH and droplet radius are presented with red and blue symbols, respectively.



Published on 30 September 2015. Downloaded by University of Bristol on 14/10/2015 09:33:12.

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript

Figure 8. Response behaviour of droplet with different waiting time of (a) 30 minutes, (b) 60 minutes, (c) 120 minutes and (d) 180 minutes. Linear fit towards data plots (grey solid sphere) on earlier and later time stage is presented by green short dotted line and green solid line.

